

Number of species and relative abundance of gamefish and rough fish using floodplain habitats

Expectation:	Relative abundance of fish within restored broadleaf marsh habitats will consist of approximately 30% game fish and 2-8% rough fish species. Gamefish should include 4-9 species belonging to the Families Centrarchidae and Esocidae, while rough fish populations should be represented by 3-6 indicator species (Table 1). Age class distributions of centrarchids and esocids should be skewed toward juveniles and young of the year.
Author:	J. Lawrence Glenn, III, South Florida Water Management District
Date:	June 2, 1998; Revised June 2001.
Relevant Endpoint(s):	Restoration - Biological Integrity - Community Structure Restoration - Biological Integrity - Reproductive Success/Recruitment Restoration - Biological Integrity - Population Structure Restoration - System Functional Integrity - Habitat Quality Restoration - System Functional Integrity - Habitat Use
Baseline Conditions:	<p>Channelization of the Kissimmee River led to drainage of approximately 12,000 hectares of floodplain wetlands and remaining floodplain habitats typically lack connectivity with the river channel (except during flood conditions), are shallow and ephemeral, and devoid of substantial water level fluctuations. Hence, these habitats are inhospitable for populations of large bodied fish species.</p> <p>Three floodplain habitats (broadleaf marsh, woody shrub, and pasture) within pools A, C, and D were sampled monthly between August 1997 and January 1999 using a m³ throw trap. <i>Gambusia holbrooki</i> and <i>Heterandria formosa</i> comprised approximately 60.7% of all fish sampled during the baseline period. Only one gamefish (<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>) and no rough fish were collected during baseline sampling.</p> <p>Milleson (1976) found that the floodplain fish community of a re-flooded marsh (impounded) in pool B also was dominated by Poeciliids (79%). Centrarchids (7 species) and cyprinodontids (3 species) comprised approximately 18% of fishes collected. No rough fish were collected.</p> <p>Toth (1991) found that the fish community of a revitalized broadleaf marsh in pool B was dominated in abundance by Poeciliids (97%). The remaining 3% was comprised of 10 species (3 centrarchid and no rough fish species).</p>
Reference Conditions:	Historical data on floodplain fish community structure of the Kissimmee River ecosystem are limited to a single report (FGFWFC 1957). Consequently, reference conditions were derived from relevant data from the FGFWFC (1957) report and comparable river/floodplain and marsh ecosystems. In the FGFWFC study, a floodplain broadleaf marsh was sampled using block nets and emulsified rotenone. Twenty-four species (Table 2) were collected in a 0.10 ha sample of marsh. Seven species of the Families Centrarchidae and Esocidae comprised approximately 35.7% of the total number of fish sampled. The remaining 64.3% were rough fish (1.4%) and small-bodied forage

fishes (62.9%). Rough fish were represented by a single species (*Erimyzon sucetta*). Of the 329 centrarchids and esocids sampled, 98% were juveniles or young of the year. These data suggest that historical broadleaf marsh habitats served as spawning and nursery habitats for large-bodied river fishes.

The lower Mississippi River was used as a reference site for floodplain fish assemblages of the historic Kissimmee River because some of the gamefish and rough fish species that are found in both rivers utilize inundated floodplain habitats when available. Guillory (1979) found 62 species utilized inundated floodplain habitats of the lower Mississippi River. Seven gamefish species (*Esox americanus*, *Lepomis gulosus*, *Lepomis macrochirus*, *Lepomis microlophus*, *Lepomis punctatus*, *Micropterus salmoides*, *Pomoxis nigromaculatus*) and three species of rough fish (*Amia calva*, *Dorosoma cepedianum*, *Lepisosteus platyrhincus*), which also occurred within the historic Kissimmee River, comprised 8.8% and 3.4%, respectively, of the total number of fishes collected. Five species of gamefish (*Esox americanus*, *Lepomis gulosus*, *Lepomis macrochirus*, *Lepomis microlophus*, *Pomoxis nigromaculatus*) and 2 rough fish species (*Amia calva*, *Dorosoma cepedianum*) collected were young-of-the-year or adults in spawning condition, indicating inundated floodplain habitats of the lower Mississippi River serve as nursery areas.

The Florida Everglades serves as a reference site for floodplain fish assemblages of the historic Kissimmee River due to similarities in geology, ecoregion, climate and annual rainfall, wetland marsh hydroperiod and vegetation composition, and zoogeography of freshwater fish fauna. Trexler et al. (in press) found Centrarchids and Esocids comprised 7 of the 16 species (*Esox americanus*, *Esox niger*, *Lepomis gulosus*, *Lepomis macrochirus*, *Lepomis microlophus*, *Lepomis punctatus*, *Micropterus salmoides*) collected in the Florida Everglades and accounted for 27% of the total number of fishes sampled. Rough fish accounted for three (*Amia calva*, *Erimyzon sucetta*, *Lepisosteus platyrhincus*) of the 16 species collected, but comprised approximately 60% of all large-bodied fishes sampled (n = 583). Jordan et al. (1999) found rough fish species made up 0.5% of the fishes sampled (n = 45,000) within wet prairie habitats in the Florida Everglades.

Mechanism relating restoration

Re-establishment of historic hydrologic characteristics will be the mechanism driving the restoration of floodplain habitats. Re-establishment of appropriate inundation depths, increased dissolved oxygen levels, and recreation of backwater lakes and ponds (deep water refuge) are critical to restoration of the floodplain fish community (Welcomme 1979). Re-establishment of both wetland flora and invertebrate fauna are linked to these characteristics and are necessary for sustaining floodplain fish populations. Restoration of floodplain fish populations will occur through re-colonization by fish species occurring within the channelized system. Newly created wetland habitats are expected to sustain fish assemblages structured similarly to those that occurred within the pre-channelized system. Young of the year and juvenile populations will be established within floodplain habitats by fish spawned on the floodplain and those migrating from adjacent riverine spawning grounds (Welcomme 1979).

Adjustment for External
Constraints:

No species were extirpated from the Kissimmee River ecosystem following channelization. Relative abundance of gamefish and rough fish species may be affected by increased use of floodplain habitats by exotic fish species. Seven species (*Astronotus ocellatus*, *Clarias batrachus*, *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, *Cyprinus carpio*, *Hoplosternum littorale*, *Hypostomus plecostomus*, *Oreochromis aureus*) of exotic fishes currently occur within the channelized Kissimmee River system. The majority of these species use marsh habitat during a portion of their life cycle (Lever, 1996, McCann et al. 1996; Nico et al 1996).

Numbers of exotic fish initially may be high during interim periods of physical and chemical change on the floodplain. Several exotic species within the system possess adaptations for survival in less than optimal conditions (i.e., capable of breathing air and locomotion over land) and often thrive in newly disturbed habitats (Courtenay & Hensley 1979). Established exotic communities can outcompete indigenous centrarchid communities for food, spawning areas, and space (Courtenay & Hensley 1979). However, during baseline sampling exotics comprised only 0.6% of fishes collected on the floodplain and 1.5% of the river channel fish community. Species richness and percentage of community composition of exotic species could increase if new species are introduced into the system (Table 3).

Time course:

Fish will begin migrating onto floodplain habitats following inundation (Welcomme 1979, Furse et al. 1996). However, maintenance of fish communities requires restoration of lower trophic levels within the floodplain ecosystem and may take between 3-12 years. Results of the demonstration project (Toth 1993) and test fill project indicate colonization of wetland plant species on re-inundated floodplain can be rapid. Harris et al. (1995) have suggested re-establishment of the historic invertebrate community may take 3-8 years. However, this time frame could be considerably shorter (1 year) if a representative complement of vegetation and associated periphyton community becomes established (J. Koebel, pers. com.). Restoration time frames may require adjustment if appropriate hydrologic characteristics are not met or are delayed.

Means of evaluation

Throw trap sampling will begin immediately following inundation of floodplain habitats. Throw trap sampling provides accurate estimates of density, size structure, and relative abundance of fish populations within heavily vegetated habitats (Kushlan 1981, Freeman et al. 1984, Jacobsen & Kushlan 1987, Chick et al. 1992, Jordan et al. 1997) and provides data comparable to block net sampling (Jordan et al. 1997). Methods will be identical to those utilized for baseline studies. Sampling will be conducted monthly, for two-year periods, beginning on the 1st, 5th, and 9th years following floodplain inundation.

Frame nets (modified hoopnets) will be used in post-restoration sampling to sample fish movements onto and off of the floodplain and to estimate the relative abundance of large-bodied species within floodplain habitats. A series of nets will be deployed 10 and 250 m from the river channel. Sampling will be conducted biannually (wet season, dry season) within pools A and C. Frame net sampling will be carried out every other year (i.e., 1, 3, 5....etc.) for 10 years following floodplain inundation.

Samples will be analyzed for species composition and richness, relative abundance of functional groups (gamefish and rough fish), and relative abundance of size classes for each functional group. Age class of centrarchids and esocids will be based on total body length (Table 4). Differences in these data within like habitats between pools will be determined using ANOVA.

Table 1. Indicator species and expected species richness for floodplain fish community structure in re-established broadleaf marsh habitats.

<u>Indicator Species</u>	<u>Species Richness</u>
GAMEFISH	
<i>Esox ameicanus</i> (redfin pickerel)	4 - 9
<i>Esox niger</i> (chain pickerel)	
<i>Micropterus salmoides</i> (largemouth bass)	
<i>Lepomis auritus</i> (redbreast sunfish)	
<i>Lepomis gulosus</i> (warmouth)	
<i>Lepomis machrochirus</i> (bluegill)	
<i>Lepomis microlophus</i> (redeer sunfish)	
<i>Lepomis punctatus</i> (spotted sunfish)	
<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i> (black crappie)	
ROUGH FISH	
<i>Amia calva</i> (bowfin)	2 - 5
<i>Dorosoma cepedianum</i> (gizzard shad)	
<i>Erimyzon sucetta</i> (lake chubsucker)	
<i>Lepisosteus osseus</i> (longnose gar)	
<i>Lepisosteus platyrhincus</i> (Florida gar)	

Table 2: Fish species collected by FGFWFC (1957) in pre-channelized broadleaf marsh.

GAME FISH:

Centrarchidae	
<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	largemouth bass
<i>Lepomis auritus</i>	redbreast sunfish
<i>Lepomis machrochirus</i>	bluegill
<i>Lepomis gulosus</i>	warmouth
<i>Lepomis microlophus</i>	redeer sunfish
<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i>	black crappie
Esocidae	
<i>Esox americanus</i>	redfin pickerel

CATFISH:

Ictaluridae	
<i>Ameiurus catus</i>	white catfish
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	channel catfish

FORAGE FISH:

Aphredoderidae	
<i>Aphredoderus sayanus</i>	pirate perch
Atherinidae	
<i>Labidesthes</i> sp.	silverside
Centrarchidae	
<i>Elassoma evergladei</i>	Everglades pygmy sunfish
<i>Ennecanthus gloriosus</i>	blue-spotted sunfish
Cyprinodontidae	
<i>Fundulus chrysotus</i>	golden topminnow
<i>Lacania goodei</i>	bluefin killifish
<i>Notemigonus crysoleucas</i>	golden shinner
<i>Notropis maculatus</i>	taillight shinner
<i>Notropis petersoni</i>	coastal shinner

Ictaluridae	
<i>Noturus gyrinus</i>	tadpole madtom
Percidae	
<i>Etheostoma fusiforme</i>	swamp darter
Poeciliidae	
<i>Gambusia holbrooki</i>	eastern mosquitofish
<i>Heterandria formosa</i>	least killifish
ROUGH FISH:	
Catostomidae	
<i>Erimyzon sucetta</i>	lake chubsucker

Table 3. Exotic fish species occurring within South Florida that could invade the restored Kissimmee River ecosystem.

<u>Species</u>	<u>Common Name</u>
<i>Belonesox belizanus</i>	pike killifish
<i>Cichlasoma bimaculatum</i>	black acara
<i>Cichlasoma citrinellum</i>	midas cichlid
<i>Cichlasoma meeki</i>	firemouth
<i>Cichla ocellaris</i>	peacock bass
<i>Cichlasoma octofasciatum</i>	Jack Dempsey
<i>Cichlasoma urophthalmus</i>	Mayan cichlid
<i>Hemichromis bimaculatus</i>	jewelfish
<i>Hypostomus</i> sp.	suckermouth catfish
<i>Monopterus albus</i>	Asian Swamp eel
<i>Tilapia mariae</i>	spotted tilapia
<i>Tilapia mossambica</i>	Mozambique tilapia

Table 4. Body lengths for age class determination of centrarchid and esocid species in the Kissimmee River (modified from Carlander 1977 and Lee et al. 1980).

<u>Species</u>	<u>Young-of the year</u>	<u>Juvenile</u>
<i>Esox ameicanus</i> (redfin pickerel)	--	< 250 mm
<i>Esox niger</i> (chain pickerel)	--	< 300 mm
<i>Micropterus salmoides</i> (largemouth bass)	0-64 mm	65-120 mm
<i>Lepomis auritus</i> (redbreast sunfish)	0-35 mm	36-60 mm
<i>Lepomis gulosus</i> (warmouth)	0-32 mm	33-75 mm
<i>Lepomis machrochirus</i> (bluegill)	0-45mm	46-90 mm
<i>Lepomis microlophus</i> (redeer sunfish)	0-56 mm	57-134 mm
<i>Lepomis punctatus</i> (spotted sunfish)	--	<55 mm (SL)
<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i> (black crappie)	0-51 mm	52-130 mm

LITERATURE CITED

- Carlander, K. D. 1977. Handbook of Freshwater Fish Biology, Volume Two. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. Pp. 431.
- Chick, J. C., F. Jordan, J. P. Smith, and C. C. McIvor. 1992. A comparison of four enclosure traps and methods used to sample fishes in aquatic macrophytes. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*. 7:353-361.
- Courtenay, W. R., Jr., and D. A. Hensley. 1979. Survey of introduced non-native fishes. Phase I Report. Introduced exotic species in North America: status 1979. Report submitted to National Fishery Research Laboratory, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Gainesville, Florida.
- Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. 1957. Recommended program for Kissimmee River Basin. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Tallahassee, Florida.
- Freeman, B. J., H. S. Greening, and J. D. Oliver. 1984. Comparison of three methods for sampling fishes and macroinvertebrates in a vegetated freshwater wetland. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*. 2:603-610.
- Furse, J. B., L. J. Davis and L. A. Bull. 1996. Habitat use and movements of largemouth bass associated with changes in dissolved oxygen and hydrology in Kissimmee River, Florida. *Proc. Ann. Conf. Southeast. Assoc. Fish and Wildl. Agencies*. 50:12-25.
- Guillory, V. 1979. Utilization of an inundated floodplain by Mississippi River Fishes. *Florida Scientist*. 42(4):222-228.
- Harris, S.C, T.H Martin and K.W. Cummins. 1995. A model for aquatic invertebrate response to Kissimmee River restoration. *Restoration Ecology*. 3(3):181-194.
- Jacobsen, T. and J. A. Kushlan. 1987. Sources of sampling bias in enclosure traps: effects on estimates of density and diversity. *Fisheries Science*. 5:401-412.
- Jordan, F., H. L. Jelks, and W. M. Kitchens. 1997. Habitat structure and plant community composition in a northern Everglades wetland. *Wetlands*. 17:275-283.
- Jordan, F., S. Coyne and J. C. Trexler. 1999. Response of fishes to variation in habitat structure: are everglades marsh fishes different? (in press).
- Kushlan, J. A. 1981. Sampling characteristics of enclosure fish traps. *Trans. Am. Fis. Soc.* 110:557-662.

- Lee, D. S., C. R. Carter, C. H. Hocutt, R. E. Jenkins, D. E. McAllister and J. R. Stauffer, Jr. 1980. Atlas of North American Fishes. Publication # 1980-12 North Carolina Biological Survey. Pp. 1-867.
- Lever, C. 1996. Naturalized fishes of the world. Academic Press. San Diego, CA.
- McCann, J. A., L. N. Arkin, and J. D. Williams. 1996. Nonindigenous aquatic and selected terrestrial species of Florida. University of Florida Press. Gainesville, Florida.
- Milleson, J.F. 1976. Environmental responses to marshland reflooding in the Kissimmee River basin. Tech Pub. 76-3. South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, Florida.
- Nico, L. G., S. J. Walsh, and R. H. Robins. 1996. An introduced population of the South America Callichthyid catfish *Hoplosternum littorale* in the Indian River lagoon system, Florida. Fla. Sci. 59(3):189-199.
- Toth, L.A. 1991. Environmental responses to the Kissimmee River demonstration project. Tech Pub 91-02, South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, Florida.
- Toth, L.A. 1993. The ecological basis of the Kissimmee River restoration plan. Fla. Sci. 56:25-51.
- Trexler, J. C., W. F. Loftus, C. F. Jordan, J. H. Chick, K. L. Kandi and O. L. Bass. (In press). Ecological scale and its implications for freshwater fishes in the Florida Everglades. In: J. W. Porter and K. G. Porter (eds) Linkages Between Ecosystems in the South Florida Hydroscape. CRC Press.
- Welcomme, R. L. 1979. Fisheries ecology of floodplain rivers. Longman Group Limited. London, England.